

On the integration of vector-valued functions

D.H.FREMLIN & J.MENDOZA

*University of Essex, Colchester, England**Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Madrid, Spain*

We discuss relationships between the McShane, Pettis, Talagrand and Bochner integrals.

Introduction A large number of different methods of integration of Banach-space-valued functions have been introduced, based on the various possible constructions of the Lebesgue integral. They commonly run fairly closely together when the range space is separable (or has w^* -separable dual) and diverge more or less sharply for general range spaces. The McShane integral as described by [Go90] is derived from the ‘gauge-limit’ integral of [McS83]. Here we give both positive and negative results concerning it and the other three integrals listed above.

1A Definitions We recall the following definitions. Let (S, Σ, μ) be a probability space and X a Banach space, with dual X^* .

(a) A function $\phi : S \rightarrow X$ is **Pettis integrable** if for every $E \in \Sigma$ there is a $w_E \in X$ such that $\int_E f(\phi(x))\mu(dx)$ exists and is equal to $f(w_E)$ for every $f \in X^*$; in this case w_S is the **Pettis integral** of ϕ , and the map $E \mapsto w_E : \Sigma \rightarrow X$ is the **indefinite Pettis integral** of ϕ .

(b) A function $\phi : S \rightarrow X$ is **Talagrand integrable**, with **Talagrand integral** w , if $w = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \leq n} \phi(s_i)$ for almost all sequences $\langle s_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in S^{\mathbb{N}}$, where $S^{\mathbb{N}}$ is given its product probability. (See [Ta87], Theorem 8.)

(c) A function $\phi : S \rightarrow X$ is **Bochner integrable**, with **Bochner integral** w , if for every $\epsilon > 0$ we can find a partition $E_0, \dots, E_n$ of S into measurable sets and vectors $x_0, \dots, x_n \in X$ and an integrable function $h : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\int h \leq \epsilon$, $\|\phi(t) - x_i\| \leq h(t)$ for $t \in E_i$, $i \leq n$ and $\|w - \sum_{i \leq n} \mu E_i \cdot x_i\| \leq \epsilon$.

1B Now we come to an integral which has been defined for functions with domains which are intervals in $\mathbb{R}$. In fact it can be satisfactorily generalized to very much wider contexts; but as the extension involves ideas from topological measure theory unnecessary for the chief results of this paper, we confine ourselves here to the original special case.

Definitions A **McShane partition** of $[0, 1]$ is a finite sequence $\langle ([a_i, b_i], t_i) \rangle_{i \leq n}$ such that $\langle [a_i, b_i] \rangle_{i \leq n}$ is a non-overlapping family of intervals covering $[0, 1]$ and $t_i \in [0, 1]$ for each i . A **gauge** on $[0, 1]$ is a function $\delta : [0, 1] \rightarrow]0, \infty[$. A McShane partition $\langle ([a_i, b_i], t_i) \rangle_{i \leq n}$ is **subordinate** to a gauge δ if $t_i - \delta(t_i) \leq a_i \leq b_i \leq t_i + \delta(t_i)$ for every $i \leq n$.

Now let X be a Banach space. Following [Go90], we say that a function $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ is **McShane integrable**, with **McShane integral** w , if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a gauge $\delta : [0, 1] \rightarrow]0, \infty[$ such that $\|w - \sum_{i \leq n} (b_i - a_i)\phi(t_i)\| \leq \epsilon$ for every McShane partition $\langle ([a_i, b_i], t_i) \rangle_{i \leq n}$ of $[0, 1]$ subordinate to δ .

1C Summary of results With four integrals to play with, a good many questions can be asked; and the situation is complicated by the fact that certain natural restrictions which may be put on the space X and the function ϕ change the answers. We therefore set out the facts in a semi-tabular form. We give references to the literature for those which are already known, and references to paragraphs below to those which we believe to be new.

(a) Consider first the situation in which no restriction is placed on the Banach space X nor on the function $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$. In this context, a Bochner integrable function is Talagrand integrable, a Talagrand integrable function is Pettis integrable, and the integrals coincide whenever defined ([Ta87], Theorem 8). A Bochner integrable function is McShane integrable ([Go90], Theorem 16) (in fact, a measurable Pettis integrable function is McShane integrable – see [Go90], Theorem 17); a McShane integrable function is Pettis integrable (2C below).

None of the implications here can be reversed. To see this, it is enough to find a McShane integrable function which is not Talagrand integrable (3A, 3G) and a Talagrand integrable function which is not McShane integrable (3E).

(b) Now suppose that the unit ball $B_1(X^*)$ of the dual X^* of X is separable and that ϕ is bounded. In this case, a McShane integrable function must be Talagrand integrable (2M). We ought to observe at this point that in these circumstances the continuum hypothesis, for instance, is enough to make any Pettis integrable function Talagrand integrable ([Ta84], 6-1-3), and that it remains conceivable that this is a theorem of ZFC (see [SFp90]). But our result in 2M does not depend on any special axiom.

In this context it is still true that a McShane integrable function need not be Bochner integrable (3F) and that a Talagrand integrable function need not be McShane integrable (3E).

(c) If we take X to be separable, but allow ϕ to be unbounded, then the Bochner and Talagrand integrals coincide (see 2K below), and the McShane and Pettis integrals coincide (2D).

There is still a McShane integrable function which is not Talagrand integrable (3G).

(d) For separable X and bounded ϕ , the Bochner and Pettis integrals coincide (2K), so all four integrals here coincide.

(e) Finally, the same is true, for unbounded ϕ , if X is finite-dimensional.

amspt.styFMp91b.tex

Version of 20.1.92

2. Positive results In this section we give our principal positive results. A McShane integrable function is Pettis integrable (2C); using this we are able to prove a convergence theorem for McShane integrable functions (2I) with some corollaries (2J). We conclude by showing that a bounded McShane integrable function from $[0, 1]$ to a space with w^* -separable dual unit ball is Talagrand integrable (2M).

2A We approach the first result by means of the ‘Dunford integral’. Recall that a function $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ is **Dunford integrable** if $h\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is integrable for every $h \in X^*$; in this case we have an **indefinite Dunford integral** $\nu : \Sigma \rightarrow X^{**}$, where Σ is the algebra of Lebesgue measurable subsets of $[0, 1]$, given by the formula $(\nu E)(h) = \int_E h\phi$ for every $h \in X^*$, $E \in \Sigma$ ([Ta84], 4-4-1 or [DU77], p. 52, Lemma 1). Thus a Pettis integrable function is just a Dunford integrable function whose indefinite integral takes values in X (identified, of course, with its canonical image in X^{**}). Now we have the following general characterization of Pettis integrable functions on $[0, 1]$ (which in part, at least, is already known; cf. [Dr86], 4.2).

2B Proposition Let X be a Banach space and $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ a Dunford integrable function with indefinite integral $\nu : \Sigma \rightarrow X^{**}$. Suppose that $\nu([a, b]) \in X$ for every subinterval $[a, b]$ of $[0, 1]$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) ϕ is Pettis integrable;
- (ii) for every sequence $\langle [a_i, b_i] \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of non-overlapping subintervals of $[0, 1]$, $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \nu([a_i, b_i])$ exists in X (for the norm of X);
- (iii) for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $\eta > 0$ such that $\|\nu E\| \leq \epsilon$ whenever $\mu E \leq \eta$;
- (iv) ν is countably additive.

proof (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is a theorem of Pettis (see [DU77], II.3.5).

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) The point is that $\{h\phi : h \in B_1(X^*)\}$ is uniformly integrable. To see this, it is enough to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup\{\int_{G_n} |h\phi| : h \in B_1(X^*)\} = 0$$

for every disjoint sequence $\langle G_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of open sets in $[0, 1]$ (see [Di84], VII.14). But given such a sequence, and a sequence $\langle h_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $B_1(X^*)$, set $\alpha_n = \int_{G_n} |h_n \phi|$ for each n . Then we can find for each n a set $F_n \subseteq G_n$, a finite union of closed intervals, such that $\int_{F_n} |h_n \phi| \geq \alpha_n - 2^{-n}$. Now we can find a sequence $\langle [a_i, b_i] \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of non-overlapping intervals, and an increasing sequence $\langle k_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of integers, such that $F_n = \bigcup_{k_n \leq i < k_{n+1}} [a_i, b_i]$ for each n . So

$$\alpha_n - 2^{-n} \leq \|\sum_{k_n \leq i < k_{n+1}} \nu([a_i, b_i])\|$$

for each n . But as $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \nu([a_i, b_i])$ exists in X^{**} , $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$, as required.

Now it follows that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $\eta > 0$ such that $\int_E |h\phi| \leq \epsilon$ whenever $h \in B_1(X^*)$, $E \in \Sigma$ and $\mu E \leq \eta$, writing μ for Lebesgue measure; so that $\|\nu E\| \leq \epsilon$ whenever $\mu E \leq \eta$.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) is elementary.

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Our original hypothesis was that $\nu E \in X$ for intervals E ; it follows that $\nu E \in X$ whenever E is a finite union of intervals. Because ν is countably additive, $\nu E \in X$ whenever E is open, and therefore whenever E is G_δ ; but also of course $\nu E = 0 \in X$ whenever $\mu E = 0$, so $\nu E \in X$ for every $E \in \Sigma$, and ϕ is Pettis integrable.

2C Theorem Let X be a Banach space and $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ a McShane integrable function. Then ϕ is Pettis integrable.

proof As remarked in [Go90], Theorem 8, ϕ is Dunford integrable; let $\nu : \Sigma \rightarrow X^{**}$ be its indefinite Dunford integral. We know also that $\nu([a, b]) \in X$ for every subinterval $[a, b]$ of $[0, 1]$ ([Go90], Theorem 4). So we seek to show that (ii) of 2B above holds true.

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Let $\delta : [0, 1] \rightarrow]0, \infty[$ be a gauge such that $\|\nu([0, 1]) - \sum_{i \leq n} (b_i - a_i)\phi(t_i)\| \leq \epsilon$ whenever $\langle ([a_i, b_i], t_i) \rangle_{i \leq n}$ is a McShane partition of $[0, 1]$ subordinate to δ . Fix a particular McShane partition $\langle ([a_i, b_i], t_i) \rangle_{i \leq n}$ of $[0, 1]$ subordinate to δ , and set $M = \sup_{i \leq n} \|\phi(t_i)\|$. We claim that if $E \subseteq [0, 1]$ is a finite union of closed intervals then $\|\nu E\| \leq M\mu E + 2\epsilon$. To see this, express E as $\bigcup_{j \leq m} [c_j, d_j]$ where the $[c_j, d_j]$ are non-overlapping, and let $\eta > 0$. For each $i \leq n$, we can express $[a_i, b_i] \setminus \text{int } E$ as a (possibly empty) finite union of non-overlapping intervals $[a_{ik}, b_{ik}]$ for $k < r(i)$; write $t_{ik} = t_i$ for $i \leq n$, $k < r(i)$. Then we see that

$$\|\sum_{i \leq n} (b_i - a_i)\phi(t_i) - \sum_{i \leq n} \sum_{k < r(i)} (b_{ik} - a_{ik})\phi(t_{ik})\| \leq M\mu E.$$

Next, for each $j \leq m$ we can find a McShane partition $\langle ([c_{jk}, d_{jk}], u_{jk}) \rangle_{k \leq q(j)}$ of $[c_j, d_j]$, subordinate to δ , such that

$$\|\nu([c_j, d_j]) - \sum_{k \leq q(j)} (d_{jk} - c_{jk})\phi(u_{jk})\| \leq \eta.$$

Assembling these, we see that $\langle ([a_{ik}, b_{ik}], t_{ik}) \rangle_{i \leq n, k < r(i)}$ and $\langle ([c_{jk}, d_{jk}], u_{jk}) \rangle_{j \leq m, k \leq q(j)}$ together form a McShane partition of $[0, 1]$ subordinate to δ , so that

$$\|\nu([0, 1]) - \sum_{i \leq n, k < r(i)} (b_{ik} - a_{ik})\phi(t_{ik}) - \sum_{j \leq m, k \leq q(j)} (d_{jk} - c_{jk})\phi(u_{jk})\| \leq \epsilon.$$

Also, of course,

$$\|\nu([0, 1]) - \sum_{i \leq n} (b_i - a_i)\phi(t_i)\| \leq \epsilon.$$

Putting these formulae together, we get

$$\|\sum_{j \leq m} \nu([c_j, d_j])\| \leq M\mu E + 2\epsilon + m\eta.$$

But η was arbitrary, so $\|\nu E\| \leq M\mu E + 2\epsilon$, as claimed.

Now this means that if $\langle [c_j, d_j] \rangle_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is any sequence of non-overlapping intervals,

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{l \geq m} \|\sum_{m \leq j \leq l} \nu([c_j, d_j])\| \leq 2\epsilon.$$

But of course ϵ was arbitrary, so the limit must actually be 0; accordingly $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \nu([c_j, d_j])$ is defined.

Thus (ii) of 2B is satisfied, and ϕ is Pettis integrable.

2D Corollary Let X be a separable Banach space. Then a function $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ is McShane integrable iff it is Pettis integrable.

proof If ϕ is Pettis integrable, it is measurable, because X is separable; so it is McShane integrable by Theorem 17 of [Go90]. Now 2C gives the reverse implication.

2E As a further consequence of 2C we have the following.

Theorem Let X be a Banach space and $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ a McShane integrable function. Then for any measurable $E \subseteq [0, 1]$ the function $\phi_E = \phi \times \chi(E) : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$, defined by writing $\phi_E(t) = \phi(t)$ if $t \in E$ and 0 otherwise, is McShane integrable.

proof Again write ν for the indefinite integral of ϕ ; we now know that ν takes all its values in X . Let $\epsilon > 0$. By 2B, we can find an $\eta > 0$ such that $\|\nu H\| \leq \epsilon$ whenever $\mu H \leq \eta$. Let $F_1 \subseteq E$, $F_2 \subseteq [0, 1] \setminus E$ be closed sets such that $\mu F_1 + \mu F_2 \geq 1 - \eta$. Let $\delta : [0, 1] \rightarrow]0, \infty[$ be a gauge such that $\|\nu([0, 1]) - \sum_{i \leq n} (b_i - a_i)\phi(t_i)\| \leq \epsilon$ whenever $\langle ([a_i, b_i], t_i) \rangle_{i \leq n}$ is a McShane partition subordinate to δ . Let $\delta_1 : [0, 1] \rightarrow]0, \infty[$ be such that $\delta_1(t) \leq \delta(t)$ for every t and $[t - \delta_1(t), t + \delta_1(t)] \cap F_j = \emptyset$ whenever $t \notin F_j$, for both $j \in \{1, 2\}$.

Suppose that $\langle ([a_i, b_i], t_i) \rangle_{i \leq n}$ is a McShane partition of $[0, 1]$ subordinate to δ_1 . We seek to estimate $\|\nu E - \sum_{i \leq n} (b_i - a_i)\phi_E(t_i)\|$. Set $I = \{i : i \leq n, t_i \in E\}$, $H = \bigcup_{i \in I} [a_i, b_i]$. Then we must have $F_1 \subseteq H \subseteq$

$[0, 1] \setminus F_2$. Consequently $\mu(H \triangle E) \leq \eta$ and $\|\nu E - \nu H\| \leq 2\epsilon$. But now recall that by Theorem 5 of [Go90] we know that $\|\nu H - \sum_{i \in I} (b_i - a_i)\phi(t_i)\| \leq \epsilon$. So

$$\|\nu E - \sum_{i \leq n} (b_i - a_i)\phi_E(t_i)\| = \|\nu E - \sum_{i \in I} (b_i - a_i)\phi(t_i)\| \leq 2\epsilon.$$

As ϵ is arbitrary, ϕ_E is McShane integrable.

2F For the next theorem of this section, we need to recall some well-known facts concerning vector measures. Suppose that Σ is a σ -algebra of sets and X a Banach space.

(a) Let us say that a function $\nu : \Sigma \rightarrow X$ is ‘weakly countably additive’ if $f(\nu(\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} E_i)) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} f(\nu E_i)$ for every disjoint sequence $\langle E_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ in Σ and every $f \in X^*$. The first fact is that in this case ν is countably additive, that is, $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \nu E_i$ is unconditionally summable to $\nu(\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} E_i)$ for the norm topology whenever $\langle E_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a disjoint sequence of measurable sets with union E (‘Orlicz-Pettis theorem’, [Ta84], 2-6-1 or [DU77], p. 22, Cor. 4).

(b) If now μ is a measure with domain Σ such that $\nu E = 0$ whenever $\mu E = 0$, then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $\|\nu E\| \leq \epsilon$ whenever $\mu E \leq \delta$. ([DU77], p. 10, Theorem 1.)

(c) Thirdly, suppose that $\langle \nu_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of countably additive functions from Σ to X such that $\nu E = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu_n E$ exists in X , for the weak topology of X , for every $E \in \Sigma$; then ν is countably additive. (Use Nikodým’s theorem ([Di84], p. 90) to see that ν is weakly countably additive.)

2G Lemma Let X be a Banach space. If $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ is McShane integrable with McShane integral w , then

$$\|w\| \leq \int \|\phi(t)\| \mu(dt).$$

proof Take any f in the unit ball of X^* . By [Go90], Theorem 8, $f(w)$ is the McShane integral of $f\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and by 6-4 and 6-5 of [McS83] this is the ordinary integral of $f\phi$. So we have

$$|f(w)| = \left| \int f\phi \right| \leq \int |f\phi| \leq \int \|\phi\|.$$

As f is arbitrary, $\|w\| \leq \int \|\phi\|$.

2H Lemma Let X be a Banach space and $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ a McShane integrable function; let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there is a gauge $\delta : [0, 1] \rightarrow]0, \infty[$ such that $\|\int_E \phi - \sum_{i \leq n} \mu E_i \phi(t_i)\| \leq \epsilon$ whenever $E_0, \dots, E_n$ are disjoint measurable subsets of $[0, 1]$, $t_0, \dots, t_n \in [0, 1]$ and $E_i \subseteq [t_i - \delta(t_i), t_i + \delta(t_i)]$ for every i .

proof Let δ be a gauge such that $\|\int \phi - \sum_{i \leq n} (b_i - a_i)\phi(t_i)\| \leq \epsilon$ whenever $\langle [a_i, b_i], t_i \rangle_{i \leq n}$ is a McShane partition of $[0, 1]$ subordinate to δ . Let $E_0, \dots, E_n$ be as in the statement of the lemma; set $M = \max_{i \leq n} \|\phi(t_i)\|$. Take $\eta > 0$; let $\eta' > 0$ be such that $(n+1)M\eta' \leq \eta$ and $\|\int_H \phi\| \leq \eta$ whenever $\mu H \leq (n+1)\eta'$ (see 2B(iii)). Then we can find a family $\langle [a_{ij}, b_{ij}] \rangle_{i \leq n, j \leq r(i)}$ of non-overlapping closed intervals such that $\mu(E_i \triangle \bigcup_{j \leq r(i)} [a_{ij}, b_{ij}]) \leq \eta'$ and $t_i - \delta(t_i) \leq a_{ij} \leq b_{ij} \leq t_i + \delta(t_i)$ for each $i \leq n, j \leq r(i)$. Write $t_{ij} = t_i$ for $i \leq n, j \leq r(i)$. Then $\langle [a_{ij}, b_{ij}], t_{ij} \rangle_{i \leq n, j \leq r(i)}$ can be extended to a McShane partition of $[0, 1]$ subordinate to δ . So writing $F_i = \bigcup_{j \leq r(i)} [a_{ij}, b_{ij}]$ for each i , $F = \bigcup_{i \leq n} F_i$, we have

$$\|\int_F \phi - \sum_{i \leq n, j \leq r(i)} (b_{ij} - a_{ij})\phi(t_{ij})\| \leq \epsilon$$

by [Go90], Theorem 5; that is,

$$\|\int_F \phi - \sum_{i \leq n} \mu F_i \phi(t_i)\| \leq \epsilon.$$

Next,

$$\|\int_E \phi - \int_F \phi\| \leq \epsilon$$

because $\mu(E \triangle F) \leq (n+1)\eta$. Also

$$\|\sum_{i \leq n} \mu F_i \phi(t_i) - \sum_{i \leq n} \mu E_i \phi(t_i)\| \leq M(n+1)\eta' \leq \eta.$$

Putting these together,

$$\|\int_E \phi - \sum_{i \leq n} \mu E_i \phi(t_i)\| \leq \epsilon + 2\eta;$$

as η is arbitrary we have the result.

2I Theorem Let X be a Banach space. Let $\langle \phi_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of McShane integrable functions from $[0, 1]$ to X , and suppose that $\phi(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(t)$ exists in X for every $t \in [0, 1]$. If moreover the limit

$$\nu E = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E \phi_n$$

exists in X , for the weak topology, for every measurable $E \subseteq [0, 1]$, ϕ is McShane integrable and $\int \phi = \nu([0, 1])$.

proof Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Write μ for Lebesgue measure, Σ for the algebra of Lebesgue measurable subsets of $[0, 1]$.

(a) For $t \in [0, 1]$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ set $q_n(t) = \sup_{j \geq n} \|\phi_j(t) - \phi_i(t)\|$. For each t , write $r(t) = \min\{n : q_n(t) \leq \epsilon, \|\phi(t)\| \leq n\}$; set $A_k = \{t : r(t) = k\}$ for each k . For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $W_k \supseteq A_k$ be a measurable set with $\mu_*(W_k \setminus A_k) = 0$; set $V_k = W_k \setminus \bigcup_{j < k} W_j$ for each k , so that $\langle V_k \rangle_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a disjoint cover of $[0, 1]$ by measurable sets, and $A_k \subseteq \bigcup_{j \leq k} V_j$ and $\mu_*(V_k \setminus A_k) = 0$ for each k . For each k , write $V_k^* = \bigcup_{j \leq k} V_j = \bigcup_{j \leq k} W_j$; take $\eta_k > 0$ such that $\|\nu E\| \leq 2^{-k}\epsilon$ whenever $\mu E \leq \eta_k$ (see (b) and (c) of 2F above); let $G_k \supseteq V_k^*$ be an open set such that $\mu(G_k \setminus V_k^*) \leq \min(\eta_k, 2^{-k}\epsilon)$.

(b) If $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $E \subseteq V_k^*$ is measurable, then $\|\nu E - \int_E \phi_k\| \leq \epsilon \mu E$. To see this, it is enough to consider the case $E \subseteq V_j$ where $j \leq k$. In this case, observe that

$$\|\nu E - \int_E \phi_k\| \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\int_E \phi_n - \int_E \phi_k\| \leq \sup_{n \geq k} \int_E \|\phi_n(t) - \phi_k(t)\| \mu(dt)$$

by Lemma 2G. Now $\mu_*(E \setminus A_j) = 0$ and for $t \in A_j$ we have $\|\phi_n(t) - \phi_k(t)\| \leq q_j(t) \leq \epsilon$ for every $n \geq k$, so

$$\int_E \|\phi_n(t) - \phi_k(t)\| \mu(dt) \leq \epsilon \mu E$$

for every $n \geq k$, giving the result.

(c) For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\delta_k : [0, 1] \rightarrow]0, \infty[$ be a gauge such that

$$\|\int_E \phi_k - \sum_{i \leq n} \mu E_i \phi_k(t_i)\| \leq 2^{-k}\epsilon$$

whenever $E_0, \dots, E_n$ are disjoint measurable sets with union E and $t_0, \dots, t_n \in [0, 1]$ are such that $E_i \subseteq [t_i - \delta_k(t_i), t_i + \delta_k(t_i)]$ for each i ; such a gauge exists by Lemma 2H. Choose $\delta : [0, 1] \rightarrow]0, \infty[$ such that $\delta(t) \leq \min(\epsilon, \delta_k(t))$ and $[0, 1] \cap [t - \delta(t), t + \delta(t)] \subseteq G_k$ for $t \in A_k$.

(d) Let $\langle [a_i, b_i], t_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a McShane partition of $[0, 1]$ subordinate to δ . We seek to estimate $\|\nu([0, 1]) - w\|$, where $w = \sum_{i \leq n} (b_i - a_i) \phi(t_i)$.

Set $I_k = \{i : i \leq n, t_i \in A_k\}$ for each k ; of course all but finitely many of the I_k are empty. For $i \in I_k$, set $E_i = [a_i, b_i] \cap V_k^*$. We have $[a_i, b_i] \subseteq [t_i - \delta(t_i), t_i + \delta(t_i)] \subseteq G_k$, so $\sum_{i \in I_k} \mu([a_i, b_i] \setminus E_i) \leq 2^{-k}\epsilon$, and $\sum_{i \in I_k} \mu([a_i, b_i] \setminus E_i) \|\phi(t_i)\| \leq 2^{-k}k\epsilon$, because $\|\phi(t)\| \leq k$ for $t \in A_k$. Consequently, if we write

$$w_1 = \sum_{i \leq n} \mu E_i \phi(t_i),$$

we shall have $\|w - w_1\| \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-k}k\epsilon = 2\epsilon$.

For each $i \leq n$, let $k(i)$ be such that $t_i \in A_{k(i)}$. Then we have $\|\phi(t_i) - \phi_{k(i)}(t_i)\| \leq \epsilon$ for each i . So

$$\sum_{i \leq n} \mu E_i \|\phi(t_i) - \phi_{k(i)}(t_i)\| \leq \sum_{i \leq n} (b_i - a_i) \epsilon \leq \epsilon,$$

because $\langle [a_i, b_i] \rangle_{i \leq n}$ is non-overlapping. Accordingly, writing

$$w_2 = \sum_{i \leq n} \mu E_i \phi_{k(i)}(t_i),$$

we have $\|w - w_2\| \leq 3\epsilon$.

Set $H_k = \bigcup \{E_i : i \in I_k\}$ for each k . Because $E_i \subseteq [t_i - \delta_k(t_i), t_i + \delta_k(t_i)]$ for each $i \in I_k$, we have

$$\|\sum_{i \in I_k} \mu E_i \phi_k(t_i) - \int_{H_k} \phi_k\| \leq 2^{-k}\epsilon.$$

Consequently, writing

$$w_3 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{H_k} \phi_k,$$

we have $\|w - w_3\| \leq 5\epsilon$.

Next, for any k , $H_k \subseteq V_k^*$, so we have

$$\|\nu H_k - \int_{H_k} \phi_k\| \leq \epsilon \mu H_k,$$

by (b) above. So writing $w_4 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \nu H_k$ we have $\|w_3 - w_4\| \leq \epsilon$ and $\|w - w_4\| \leq 6\epsilon$.

If we set $H'_k = \bigcup \{[a_i, b_i] : i \in I_k\}$, then $\mu(H'_k \setminus H_k) \leq \eta_k$, so that $\|\nu H'_k - \nu H_k\| \leq 2^{-k}\epsilon$, for each k . Accordingly $\|w - w_5\| \leq 8\epsilon$, where

$$w_5 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \nu H'_k = \nu(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} H'_k) = \nu(\bigcup_{i \leq n} [a_i, b_i]) = \nu([0, 1]).$$

As ϵ is arbitrary, $\int \phi$ exists and is equal to $\nu([0, 1])$.

Problem In this theorem we are supposing that $\phi(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(t)$ in the norm topology for every t . Is it enough if $\phi(t)$ is the weak limit of $\langle \phi_n(t) \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ for every t ?

2J Corollary Let X be a Banach space.

(a) Let $\langle \phi_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of McShane integrable functions from $[0, 1]$ to X such that $\phi(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(t)$ exists in X for every $t \in [0, 1]$. If

$$C = \{f \phi_n : f \in X^*, \|f\| \leq 1, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is uniformly integrable, then ϕ is McShane integrable. In particular, if $\{\|\phi_n\| : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is dominated by an integrable function, then ϕ is McShane integrable.

(b) Let $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ be a Pettis integrable function and $\langle E_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ a cover of $[0, 1]$ by measurable sets. Suppose that $\phi \times \chi(E_i)$ is McShane integrable for each i . Then ϕ is McShane integrable.

proof (a) The point is that ϕ_n, ϕ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2I. To see this, take $E \in \Sigma$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Because C is uniformly integrable, there is an $\eta > 0$ such that $\int_H |g| \leq \epsilon$ whenever $g \in C$ and $\mu H \leq \eta$; consequently $\|\int_H \phi_n\| \leq \epsilon$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ whenever $H \in \Sigma$ and $\mu H \leq \eta$. Now set

$$A_n = \{t : \|\phi_i(t) - \phi_j(t)\| \leq \epsilon \ \forall \ i, j \geq n\};$$

then $\langle A_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an increasing sequence with union $[0, 1]$, so there is an n such that $\mu^* A_n \geq 1 - \eta$. Let $G \in \Sigma$ be such that $A_n \subseteq G$ and $\mu G = \mu^* A_n$. Then whenever $i, j \geq n$ we have

$$\|\int_{E \cap G} \phi_i - \int_{E \cap G} \phi_j\| \leq \int_{E \cap G} \|\phi_i(t) - \phi_j(t)\| \mu(dt) \leq \mu G \sup_{t \in A_n} \|\phi_i(t) - \phi_j(t)\| \leq \epsilon.$$

Also $\|\int_{E \setminus G} \phi_i\|$ and $\|\int_{E \setminus G} \phi_j\|$ are both less than or equal to ϵ , so $\|\int_E \phi_i - \int_E \phi_j\| \leq 3\epsilon$. This shows that $\langle \int_E \phi_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence and therefore convergent, for every $E \in \Sigma$. Accordingly the conditions of 2I are satisfied and ϕ is McShane integrable.

(b) We apply 2I with $\phi_n(t) = \phi(t)$ for $t \in \bigcup_{i \leq n} E_i$, 0 elsewhere.

Remark Part (a) is a version of Vitali's lemma. Part (b) is a generalization of [Go90], Theorem 15.

2K We now give a result connecting the McShane and Talagrand integrals. Recall that if (S, Σ, μ) is a probability space, a set A of real-valued functions is **stable** (in Talagrand's terminology) if for every $E \in \Sigma$, with $\mu E > 0$, and all real numbers $\alpha < \beta$, there are $m, n \geq 1$ such that $\mu_{m+n}^* Z(A, E, m, n, \alpha, \beta) < (\mu E)^{m+n}$, where throughout the rest of paper we write $Z(A, E, I, J, \alpha, \beta)$ for

$$\{(t, u) : t \in E^I, u \in E^J, \exists f \in A, f(t(i)) \leq \alpha \ \forall \ i \in I, f(u(j)) \geq \beta \ \forall \ j \in J\},$$

and μ_{m+n}^* is the ordinary product outer measure on $S^m \times S^n$. Now if X is a Banach space, a function $\phi : S \rightarrow X$ is **properly measurable** if $\{h\phi : h \in X^*, \|h\| \leq 1\}$ is stable. Talagrand proved ([Ta87], Theorem 8) that ϕ is Talagrand integrable iff it is properly measurable and the upper integral $\bar{\int} \|\phi(t)\| \mu(dt)$ is finite.

In particular, a Talagrand integrable function $\phi : S \rightarrow X$ must be scalarly measurable for the completion of μ ([Ta84], 6-1-1). So if X is separable, ϕ must be measurable for the completion of μ ([DU77], II.1.2 or [Ta84], 3-1-3); now as $\int \|\phi\| d\mu < \infty$, ϕ is Bochner integrable.

2L Proposition Let X be a Banach space such that the unit ball of X^* is w^* -separable. If $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ is a McShane integrable function then it is properly measurable.

proof Let w be the McShane integral of ϕ . Set $A = \{h\phi : h \in X^*, \|h\| \leq 1\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}$; we have to show that A is stable. Note that because the unit ball of X^* is separable for the w^* -topology on X^* , and the map $h \mapsto h\phi : X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}$ is continuous for the w^* -topology on X^* and the topology of pointwise convergence on $\mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}$, A has a countable dense subset A_0 .

Take a non-negligible measurable $E \subseteq [0, 1]$ and $\alpha < \alpha' < \beta' < \beta$ in $\mathbb{R}$. For $m, n \geq 1$ set $H_{mn} = Z(A, E, m, n, \alpha, \beta)$, $H'_{mn} = Z(A_0, E, m, n, \alpha', \beta')$; then $H_{mn} \subseteq H'_{mn}$ and H'_{mn} is measurable for the usual (completed) product measure on $E^m \times E^n$. We seek an m with $\mu_{2m} H'_{mm} < (\mu E)^{2m}$, writing μ for Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$ and μ_{2m} for Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]^m \times [0, 1]^m$.

Set $\epsilon = \frac{1}{6}(\beta' - \alpha')\mu E$, and choose a function $\delta : [a, b] \rightarrow]0, \infty[$ such that $\|w - \sum_{i \leq n} (b_i - a_i)\phi(t_i)\| \leq \epsilon$ for every McShane partition $\langle [a_i, b_i], t_i \rangle_{i \leq n}$ of $[a, b]$ subordinate to δ .

Take $k \geq 1$ such that $\mu^* D \geq \frac{1}{2}\mu E$, where $D = \{s : s \in E, \delta(s) \geq \frac{1}{k}\}$. Let $\langle [a_i, b_i] \rangle_{i < m}$ be an enumeration of the intervals of the form $[\frac{j}{k}, \frac{j+1}{k}]$ which meet D in a set of positive measure; set $G = \bigcup_{i < m}]a_i, b_i[$, so that G is open and $\mu G \geq \frac{1}{2}\mu E$.

Now suppose, if possible, that $\mu_{2m} H'_{mm} = (\mu E)^{2m}$. The set

$$\{(t, u) : t, u \in \prod_{i < m} (D \cap]a_i, b_i[)\} \subseteq E^m \times E^m$$

has non-zero outer measure, so must meet H'_{mm} ; take (t, u) in the intersection. Write $\Delta(s) = \{s' : |s' - s| < \min(\delta(s), \frac{1}{k})\}$ for each $s \in [0, 1]$. Let $\langle t(i) \rangle_{m \leq i \leq n}$ be a finite sequence in $[a, b] \setminus G$ such that $[a, b] \setminus G \subseteq \bigcup_{m \leq i \leq n} \Delta(t(i))$. Because $[a, b] \setminus G$ is itself a finite union of closed intervals, we can find a family $\langle [a_i, b_i] \rangle_{m \leq i \leq n}$ of non-overlapping closed intervals such that $[0, 1] \setminus G = \bigcup_{m \leq i \leq n} [a_i, b_i]$ and $t(i) \in [a_i, b_i] \subseteq \Delta(t(i))$ for each i . Now if we set $u(i) = t(i)$ for $m \leq i \leq n$, we see that $\langle [a_i, b_i], t(i) \rangle_{i \leq n}$ and $\langle [a_i, b_i], u(i) \rangle_{i \leq n}$ are both McShane partitions of $[a, b]$ subordinate to δ . So we must have

$$\|\sum_{i \leq n} (b_i - a_i)(\phi(t(i)) - \phi(u(i)))\| \leq 2\epsilon.$$

Now $(t, u) \in H'_{mm}$, so there is an $f \in A$ such that $f(t(i)) \leq \alpha'$ and $f(u(i)) \geq \beta'$ for every $i < m$. f is of the form $h\phi$ for some h of norm at most 1, so

$$|\sum_{i < m} (b_i - a_i)(f(t(i)) - f(u(i)))| \leq 2\epsilon.$$

However, $f(t(i)) \leq \alpha'$ for each i and $\sum_{i < m} (b_i - a_i) = \mu G$, so

$$\sum_{i < m} (b_i - a_i)f(t(i)) \leq \alpha' \mu G;$$

similarly $\sum_{i < m} (b_i - a_i)f(u(i)) \geq \beta' \mu G$, and we get

$$2\epsilon \geq (\beta' - \alpha')\mu G \geq (\beta' - \alpha')\frac{1}{2}\mu E = 3\epsilon,$$

which is absurd.

2M Corollary Let X be a Banach space such that the unit ball of X^* is w^* -separable. If $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ is McShane integrable and $\int \|\phi(s)\| \mu(ds) < \infty$, then ϕ is Talagrand integrable.

amspt.styFmp91c.tex

Version of 20.1.92

3. Examples In this section we give examples to show that the results above are more or less complete in their own terms. In particular, a McShane integrable function need not be Talagrand integrable (3A, 3G) and a Talagrand integrable function need not be McShane integrable (3E).

3A Example There is a bounded McShane integrable function $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\mathfrak{c})$ which is not properly measurable and therefore not Talagrand integrable.

proof Enumerate as $\langle H_\xi \rangle_{\xi < \mathfrak{c}}$ the family of all Borel subsets of any power $[0, 1]^n$ of the unit interval which have positive Lebesgue measure in the appropriate dimension. Then we can choose inductively a disjoint family $\langle D_\xi \rangle_{\xi < \mathfrak{c}}$ of finite sets such that $D_\xi^{k(\xi)} \cap H_\xi \neq \emptyset$ for each ξ , taking $k(\xi)$ such that $H_\xi \subseteq [0, 1]^{k(\xi)}$. Define $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\mathfrak{c})$ by saying that $\phi(s) = e_\xi$ when $s \in D_\xi$, 0 when $s \in [0, 1] \setminus \bigcup_{\xi < \mathfrak{c}} D_\xi$, writing e_ξ for the unit vector of $\ell^\infty(\mathfrak{c})$ with $e_\xi(\eta) = 1$ if $\eta = \xi$, 0 otherwise.

To see that ϕ is McShane integrable, with integral 0, let $\epsilon > 0$. For each $\xi < \mathfrak{c}$ let $G_\xi \supseteq D_\xi$ be a relatively open subset of $[0, 1]$ of measure at most ϵ ; let $\delta(s)$ be the distance from s to $[0, 1] \setminus G_\xi$ if $s \in D_\xi$, 1 if $s \in [0, 1] \setminus \bigcup_{\xi < \mathfrak{c}} D_\xi$. If $\langle ([a_i, b_i], t_i) \rangle_{i \in I}$ is any McShane partition of $[0, 1]$ subordinate to δ , then

$$|(\sum_{i \in J} (b_i - a_i)\phi(t_i))(\xi)| = \sum_{i \in J, t_i \in D_\xi} (b_i - a_i) \leq \mu G_\xi \leq \epsilon$$

for any $J \subseteq I$, $\xi < \mathfrak{c}$, so that

$$\|\sum_{i \in J} (b_i - a_i)\phi(t_i)\| \leq \epsilon$$

for every finite $J \subseteq I$. As ϵ is arbitrary, ϕ is McShane integrable, with integral 0.

To see that ϕ is not properly measurable, set

$$A = \{h\phi : h \in (\ell^\infty(\mathfrak{c}))^*, \|h\| \leq 1\},$$

and consider, for $m, n \geq 1$, the set $H_{mn} = Z(A, [0, 1], m, n, 0, 1)$.

Suppose, if possible, that there are $m, n \geq 1$ such that $(\mu)_{m+n}^* H_{mn} < 1$. In this case there is a non-negligible measurable $H \subseteq ([0, 1]^m \times [0, 1]^n) \setminus H_{mn}$. Set

$$H' = \{u : u \in [0, 1]^n, (\mu)_n \{t : (t, u) \in H\} > 0\};$$

then $\mu_n H' > 0$, so there is a $\xi < \mathfrak{c}$ such that $H_\xi \subseteq H'$, and a $u \in D_\xi^n \cap H'$. Now $H^{-1}[\{u\}] = \{t : (t, u) \in H\}$ is non-negligible, and D_ξ is finite, so there is a $t \in H^{-1}[\{u\}]$ such that no coordinate of t belongs to D_ξ . In this case, taking $h_\xi(x) = x(\xi)$ for $x \in \ell^\infty(\mathfrak{c})$, and $f = h_\xi \phi \in A$, we see that $f(u(j)) = 1$ for each $j < n$, but that $f(t(i)) = 0$ for each $i < m$; so that $(t, u) \in H_{mn}$ and $(t, u) \notin H$, which is absurd.

Thus ϕ is not properly measurable and therefore not Talagrand integrable.

Remark Observe that ϕ is not measurable, and either for this reason, or because it is not Talagrand integrable, cannot be Bochner integrable.

3B The next example will be of a Talagrand integrable function which is not McShane integrable. It relies on a couple of lemmas.

Lemma Let (S, Σ, μ) be a probability space and $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^S$ a stable set of functions. Suppose that $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^S$ is a countable set of functions such that $A \setminus U$ is stable whenever U is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^S$ (for the pointwise topology of $\mathbb{R}^S$) including B . Then A is stable.

proof Let $E \in \Sigma$ be such that $\mu E > 0$, and take $\alpha < \beta$ in $\mathbb{R}$. Let $m, n \geq 1$ be such that $(\mu_{m+n}^* Z(B, E, m, n, \alpha, \beta) < \mu E)^{m+n}$. Let $G \subseteq (E^m \times E^n) \setminus Z(B, E, m, n, \alpha, \beta)$ be a measurable set of non-zero measure. For any $(t, u) \in G$, we see that

$$U_{tu} = \{f : f \in \mathbb{R}^S, \exists i < n, f(t(i)) > \alpha\} \cup \{f : f \in \mathbb{R}^S, \exists j < m, f(u(j)) < \beta\}$$

is an open set including B , so $A \setminus U_{tu}$ is stable, and there are $m_{tu} \geq 1, n_{tu} \geq 1$ such that $\mu_{m_{tu}+n_{tu}} Z(A, U_{tu}, E, m_{tu}, n_{tu}, \alpha, \beta) < (\mu E)^{m_{tu}+n_{tu}}$. Let p, q be so large that $\mu_{m+n}^* G_1 > 0$, where

$$G_1 = \{(t, u) : (t, u) \in G, m + m_{tu} \leq p, n + n_{tu} \leq q\}.$$

Now observe that if $(v, w) \in Z(A, E, p, q, \alpha, \beta)$ and $(t, u) = (v|m, w|n) \in G_1$ then we must have an $f \in A$ such that $f(v(i)) \leq \alpha$ for every i and $f(w(j)) \geq \beta$ for every j ; but in this case $f \notin U_{tu}$, so that $(v|p \setminus m, w|q \setminus n) \in Z(A \setminus U_{tu}, E, p \setminus m, q \setminus n, \alpha, \beta)$. Because $p - m \geq m_{tu}, q - n \geq n_{tu}$ we must have the measure of $Z(A \setminus U_{tu}, E, p \setminus m, q \setminus n, \alpha, \beta)$ strictly less than $(\mu E)^{p-m+q-n}$. But this means that for every $(t, u) \in G_1$,

$$\mu_{p-m+q-n} \{(v, w) : (t \smallfrown v, u \smallfrown w) \in Z(A, E, p, q, \alpha, \beta)\}$$

is less than $(\mu E)^{p-m+q-n}$. Because $Z(A, E, p, q, \alpha, \beta)$ is measurable and $\mu_{m+n}^* G_1 > 0$, we see that $\mu_{p+q} Z(A, E, p, q, \alpha, \beta)$ must be less than $(\mu E)^{p+q}$.

As α, β, E are arbitrary, A is stable.

3C Lemma Let $H \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable set such that $\mu(H \cap [a, b]) > 0$ whenever $a < b$ in $\mathbb{R}$, writing μ for Lebesgue measure.

(a) If D is a non-negligible subset of $\mathbb{R}$, then $\mu^*(H \cap (t + D)) > 0$ for almost all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

(b) If $\langle D_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of non-negligible subsets of $\mathbb{R}$, then there is a sequence $\langle t_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $t_m \in D_m$ and $t_m + t_n \in H$ whenever $m < n \in \mathbb{N}$.

proof (a) Let E be a Lebesgue measurable set such that $D \subseteq E$ and $(\mu)_*(E \setminus D) = 0$. The function

$$t \mapsto \mu^*(H \cap (t + D)) = \mu^*((H - t) \cap D) = \mu((H - t) \cap E)$$

is continuous, so $F = \{t : \mu(H \cap (t + D)) = 0\}$ is closed. Let θ be a translation-invariant multiplicative lifting of Lebesgue measure ([IT67]). Then

$$(\theta(H) - t) \cap \theta(E) = \theta(H - t) \cap \theta(E) = \theta((H - t) \cap E) = \emptyset$$

for every $t \in F$, so $\theta(H) \cap (\theta(E) + F) = \emptyset$. But $\mu(\theta(H) \triangle H) = 0$ so $\mu(\theta(H) \cap [a, b]) > 0$ whenever $a < b$ and $\theta(E) + F$ cannot include any interval. Consequently one of $\theta(E), F$ must be negligible ([Ru74], chap. 8, exercise 12). But $\mu\theta(E) = \mu E = \mu_L^* D > 0$, so $\mu F = 0$, as claimed.

(b) Choose t_m, D_{nm} inductively, as follows. Start with $D_{n0} = D_n$ for every n . Given that $\mu^* D_{nm} > 0$ for all $n \geq m$ and that $t_i + t \in H$ whenever $i < m, t \in \bigcup_{n \geq m} D_{nm}$, observe that by (a) we have

$$\mu\{t : \exists n \geq m, \mu(D_{nm} \cap (H - t)) = 0\} = 0.$$

So we can find $t_m \in D_{mm}$ such that $\mu^*(D_{nm} \cap (H - t_m)) > 0$ for every $n > m$. Set $D_{n,m+1} = D_{nm} \cap (H - t_m)$ for $n > m$, and continue.

3D Lemma Let $H \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable set such that $\mu(H \cap [a, b]) > 0$ whenever $a < b$ in $\mathbb{R}$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the set of subsets C of $[0, 1]$ such that $s + t \notin H$ whenever s, t are distinct members of C . Then the set B of characteristic functions of members of $\mathcal{C}$ is stable.

proof Let E be a non-negligible measurable subset of $[0, 1]$ and $\alpha < \beta$. If $\alpha < 0$ or $\beta > 1$ then $Z(B, E, 1, 1, \alpha, \beta) = \emptyset$. If $0 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq 1$ then

$$Z(B, E, 1, 2, \alpha, \beta) \subseteq \{(t, (u_0, u_1)) : u_0 = u_1 \text{ or } u_0 + u_1 \notin H\}.$$

But we know from Lemma 3Ca that $\mu\{u : u \in E, u_0 + u \in H\} > 0$ for almost all u_0 , so that $\gamma = \mu_2\{(u_0, u_1) : u_0, u_1 \in E, u_0 + u_1 \in H\} > 0$, and now $\mu_3 Z(B, E, 1, 2, \alpha, \beta) \leq \mu E((\mu E)^2 - \gamma) < (\mu E)^3$.

3E Example There is a bounded Talagrand integrable function $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$ which is not McShane integrable.

proof (a) Let H be an F_σ subset of $\mathbb{R}$ such that $0 < \mu(H \cap [a, b]) < b - a$ whenever $a < b$ in $\mathbb{R}$; let $\langle H_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an increasing sequence of closed sets with union H . Let $\mathcal{C}, B \subseteq \{0, 1\}^{[0, 1]}$ be defined from H as in Lemma 3D, so that B is stable. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let A_n be the countable set of functions $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ which have total variation at most n , jump only at rational points, and are such that $\min(f(s), f(t)) = 0$ whenever $s < t$ and $s + t \in H_n$; set $A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$. Then we see that in the compact space $\{0, 1\}^{[0, 1]}$

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{\bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m} \subseteq B.$$

Accordingly every neighbourhood U of B in $\mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}$ must include all but finitely many of the A_n . On the other hand, each A_n is stable, being comprised of functions of variation at most n . So Lemma 3B tells us that A is stable.

Enumerate A as $\langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and define $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$ by setting $\phi(t) = \langle f_n(t) \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\{h\phi : h \in (\ell^\infty)^*, \|h\| \leq 1\}$ is precisely the balanced closed convex hull of A , which by [Ta84], 11-1-1, is stable. So ϕ is Talagrand integrable (since of course $\|\phi(t)\| \leq 1$ for every t).

(b) But suppose, if possible, that ϕ is McShane integrable. Let w be its McShane integral, and let $\delta : [0, 1] \rightarrow]0, \infty[$ be such that $\|w - \sum_{i \leq n} (b_i - a_i)\phi(t_i)\| \leq \frac{1}{5}$ for every McShane partition $\langle ([a_i, b_i], t_i) \rangle_{i \leq n}$ of $[0, 1]$ subordinate to δ . Let $k \geq 5$ be such that $D = \{t : \delta(t) \geq \frac{1}{k}\}$ has outer measure at least $\frac{4}{5}$. Let $\langle [a_i, b_i] \rangle_{i < m}$ enumerate the intervals of the form $[\frac{j}{k}, \frac{j+1}{k}]$ which meet D in a set of positive measure; then $\frac{m}{k} \geq \frac{4}{5}$. Set $G = \bigcup_{i < m}]a_i, b_i[$; then (as in the proof of 2B above) we can find $\langle ([a_i, b_i], t_i) \rangle_{m \leq i \leq n}$ such that $\bigcup_{m \leq i \leq n} [a_i, b_i] = [0, 1] \setminus G$ and $t_i - \delta(t_i) \leq a_i \leq t_i \leq b_i \leq t_i + \delta(t_i)$ for $m \leq i \leq n$.

Because both H and its complement meet every non-trivial interval in a set of non-zero measure, Lemma 3Cb tells us that there are sequences $\langle t_i \rangle_{i < m}$, $\langle u_i \rangle_{i < m}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} t_i &\in D \cap [a_i, b_i], u_i \in D \cap [a_i, b_i] \text{ for all } i < m, \\ t_i + t_j &\in H, u_i + u_j \notin H \text{ whenever } i < j < m. \end{aligned}$$

Now if we set $u_i = t_i$ for $m \leq i \leq n$, both $\langle ([a_i, b_i], t_i) \rangle_{i \leq n}$ and $\langle ([a_i, b_i], u_i) \rangle_{i \leq n}$ are McShane partitions of $[0, 1]$ subordinate to δ . So we must have

$$\frac{2}{5} \geq \left\| \sum_{i \leq n} (b_i - a_i)\phi(t_i) - \sum_{i \leq n} (b_i - a_i)\phi(u_i) \right\| = \frac{1}{k} \left\| \sum_{i < m} \phi(t_i) - \phi(u_i) \right\|.$$

Let $l \geq 2m$ be such that $u_i + u_j \in H_l$ whenever $i < j < m$. Then $t_i + t_j \notin H_l$ for $i < j < m$, so there is an $f \in A_l$ such that $f(t_i) = 1$ for every $i < m$, while $f(u_i) = 0$ for all except at most one $i < m$. Consequently $|\sum_{i < m} f(t_i) - f(u_i)| \geq m - 1$. But $f = f_r$ for some r , so $\|\sum_{i < m} \phi(t_i) - \phi(u_i)\| \geq m - 1$, and $\frac{2}{5} \geq \frac{m-1}{k} \geq \frac{3}{5}$, which is absurd.

Thus ϕ is not McShane integrable, and provides the required example.

Remark Because ϕ is Talagrand integrable, it must be Pettis integrable; thus we see that the condition ‘ ϕ is measurable’ in Gordon’s theorem (1Ca) is necessary.

3F We conclude with notes on two well-known examples.

Example There is a bounded McShane integrable, Talagrand integrable function $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow L^\infty([0, 1])$ which is not Bochner integrable.

proof Let $\phi(t)$ be the equivalence class in L^∞ of the characteristic function of the interval $[0, t]$ for each t . As remarked in [Ta84], exercise 3-3-2, ϕ is Talagrand integrable but not Bochner integrable; as remarked in [Go90], p. 567, in a slightly different context, ϕ is McShane integrable.

Remarks We observe indeed that ϕ above could be called ‘Riemann integrable’, as its integrability can be witnessed by constant gauge functions. It is easy to see that such a function must be both McShane integrable and Talagrand integrable.

Because the unit ball of $L^\infty([0, 1])$ is w^* -separable, there is an isometric embedding of $L^\infty[0, 1]$ in $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$ (indeed, $L^\infty([0, 1])$ is isomorphic to $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$ – see [LT77], p. 111), so there is a bounded McShane integrable, Talagrand integrable function from $[0, 1]$ to $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$ which is not Bochner integrable.

3G Example There is a McShane integrable function $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ which is not Talagrand integrable.

proof Let e_n be the n th unit vector of $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ and set $\phi(t) = 2^n(n+1)^{-1}e_n$ for $2^{-n-1} \leq t < 2^{-n}$. Then $\int \|\phi\| = \infty$ so ϕ is not Talagrand integrable, but by [Go90], Theorem 15, it is McShane integrable.

Acknowledgement Part of the work of this paper was done while the first author was visiting the Universidad Nacional de Educación a Distancia in Madrid. The second author was partially supported by D.G.I.C.Y.T. grant PB88-0141. We are grateful to L. Drewnowski for useful comments.

References

- [BSM86] J. Bastero & M. San Miguel (eds.), *Probability and Banach Spaces*. Springer, 1986 (Lecture Notes in Math. 1221).
- [Di84] J. Diestel, *Sequences and Series in Banach Spaces*. Springer, 1984 (Graduate Texts in Mathematics 94).
- [DU77] J. Diestel & J. J. Uhl Jr., *Vector Measures*. Amer. Math. Soc., 1977 (Math. Surveys 15).
- [Dr86] L. Drewnowski, 'On the Dunford and Pettis integrals', pp. 1-15 in [BSM86].
- [Go90] R. A. Gordon, 'The McShane integral of Banach-valued functions', *Illinois J. Math.* 34 (1990) 557-567.
- [IT67] A. & C. Ionescu Tulcea, 'On the existence of a lifting commuting with the left translations of an arbitrary locally compact group', pp. 63-97 in [LN67].
- [LN67] L. M. LeCam & J. Neyman (eds.) *Proc. Fifth Berkeley Symposium in Mathematical Statistics and Probability*, vol. II. Univ. California Press, 1967.
- [LT77] J. Lindenstrauss & L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces I*. Springer, 1977.
- [McS83] E. J. McShane, *Unified Integration*. Academic, 1983.
- [Ru74] W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*. McGraw-Hill, 1974.
- [SFp90] S. Shelah & D. H. Fremlin, 'Pointwise compact and stable sets of measurable functions', to appear in *J. Symbolic Logic*.
- [Ta84] M. Talagrand, *Pettis integral and measure theory*. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 307 (1984).
- [Ta87] M. Talagrand, 'The Glivenko-Cantelli problem', *Annals of Probability* 15 (1987) 837-870.

Addresses:

D. H. Fremlin, Mathematics Department, University of Essex, Colchester CO4 3SQ, England
 J. Mendoza, Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, 28040 Madrid, Spain